

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10                  AT TACOMA

11                  NATHAN JOVEE,

12                  Plaintiff,

13                  v.

14                  SNOHOMISH COUNTY, *et al.*,

15                  Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:21-cv-01590-RSM-JRC

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
AMEND COMPLAINT

16                  This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint. Dkt. 102.

17                  Plaintiff, who proceeds *pro se*, filed a motion to amend his complaint on February 24,  
18 2022, after the Court struck plaintiff's second amended complaint because it was filed without  
19 defendants' written consent or the Court's permission. *See* Dkt. 102.

20                  A motion to amend a complaint must comply with applicable federal and local rules. *See*  
21 *also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. Specifically, Local Civil Rule 15 requires that—

22                  A party who moves for leave to amend a pleading, or who seeks to amend  
23 a pleading by stipulated motion and order, must attach a copy of the proposed  
24 amended pleading as an exhibit to the motion or stipulated motion. The party must  
indicate on the proposed amended pleading how it differs from the pleading that it

1 amends by bracketing or striking through the text to be deleted and underlining or  
2 highlighting the text to be added. The proposed amended pleading must not  
incorporate by reference any part of the preceding pleading, including exhibits.

3 Here, plaintiff has not complied with this rule. He has not filed a copy of the proposed amended  
4 pleading with indications of how it differs from the *pleading that it amends*. Instead, he filed a  
5 proposed amended pleading that showed differences between his proposed pleading and the  
6 second amended complaint, which the Court struck from the docket. The operative complaint is  
7 the amended complaint located at Dkt. 1-2. Plaintiff needed to show differences between his  
8 operative complaint and the currently proposed complaint. The Court will not comb through  
9 plaintiff's pleadings, which are lengthy, to identify the differences between his proposed and  
10 current complaints.

11 The motion to amend is denied.

12 Dated this 15th day of April, 2022.

13   
14

15 J. Richard Creatura  
16 Chief United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24